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This document provides a technical overview of the key features of HP compilers for HP Integrity servers running the HP-UX 11i v3 operating system.

Understanding HP compilers

HP Integrity servers use the Intel® Itanium® architecture, co-developed by HP and Intel, which uses Explicitly Parallel Instruction Computing (EPIC). EPIC enables processors to take advantage of advanced compiler techniques and massive processor resources to execute instructions faster and more in parallel than traditional RISC. HP compilers have been designed in parallel with the architecture to exploit the benefits of this architecture for real applications. In addition to the key features of HP compilers, this paper suggests how developers can use HP compilers to unlock the performance advantages of Integrity servers.

HP offers a family of compilers for Integrity servers, supporting the C, C++, and Fortran languages. HP compilers share an overall design structure that facilitates the integration of common functional components such as the code generator, optimizer, linker, and debugger.

The HP compiler structure (see Figure 1 (page 5)) begins with a language-dependent front end which includes components for lexical, syntax, and semantic analysis of the incoming source code. Each front end produces an intermediate-level representation of the program. The high-level optimizer performs performance-enhancing optimizations of the intermediate code. The code generator converts the intermediate representation into an instruction sequence nearly appropriate for the target system. Finally, the low-level optimizer completes the generation of machine code and performs additional transformations which improve performance.

HP-UX system libraries on Integrity servers are generally supersets of their counterparts on HP 9000 systems based on the PA-RISC architecture. For example, the HP-UX C/C++ math library for Integrity servers provides an API that is a major superset of the PA-RISC library. It includes all the C99/Unix 2003, Unix 95, and PA-RISC math functions for four floating-point types. The functions provided for Integrity servers are generally faster, more accurate, and more consistent in the treatment of exceptional cases than their PA-RISC counterparts.

All HP compilers share a common implementation of the code generator and optimizers in order to maximize inter-operability between languages.

The HP compilers for Integrity servers have already compiled millions of lines of C, C++, and Fortran source code for HP-UX 11i, including HP-UX 11i itself. Much of this compilation is at high levels of optimization. Hundreds of independent software providers have used the HP compilers to make thousands of applications available on HP-UX 11i. HP uses its compilers to tune performance of the SPEC2006 benchmark, which comprises a total of 29 applications, using advanced levels of optimization. These programs make
extensive use of sophisticated Itanium processor family features such as predication, speculation, and data prefetching.

**Figure 1 Internal structure of the HP compilers**

![Diagram of HP compilers]

**Optimizing for Integrity servers**

The Intel Itanium architecture seeks to reduce execution time by maximizing instruction-level parallelism—the concurrent execution of multiple instructions. It provides three key features that enable the compiler to maximize instruction-level parallelism (ILP):

- Predication
- Speculation, both of control and data
- Explicit parallelism

While support from the architecture for these features is critical, the compiler must exploit these features to their utmost in order to deliver superior application performance.

**Predication**

Predication is the conditional execution of an instruction based on the setting of a boolean value contained in a predicate register. The Intel Itanium architecture provides 64 predicate registers that can be used to control the execution of nearly all instructions. In the example below, both assignments to x can execute in the same cycle (because both predicates are never simultaneously true), saving two instructions and at least one execution cycle, and avoiding any risk of branch misprediction.
Example 1 Using predication

```c
if (a == 0) {
    x = 5;
} else {
    x = *p;
}
```

The compiler can use predication to transform control dependencies on branch instructions into data dependencies on compare instructions.

Example 2 Code from Example 1 generated using branches

```assembly
cmp.ne.unc p1,p0 = a,0
(p1) br L1 ;;
mov x = 5
br L2 ;;
L1: ld x = [p]
L2:
```

The assignment to `x` that is executed is control dependent on the predicate (p1) in the first branch instruction.

Transforming from control dependence to data dependence has two principal benefits:

- **Removal of branches**, which increases the number of instructions per cycle. For example, by eliminating the branches in Example 2 (page 6), both assignments to `x` can be executed in the same cycle.

- **Elimination of the misprediction penalty associated with branches**. In a pipelined processor, a branch presents a potential disruption in the pipeline flow. The processor must predict whether a conditional branch is taken and must predict the target, if it is indirect. An incorrect prediction flushes and restarts the pipeline. With a deep pipeline and wide issue bandwidth, this represents a significant loss of performance. On the Intel Itanium processor, for example, a branch misprediction penalty is 9 cycles, representing 54 lost instruction issue opportunities. Even with sophisticated branch prediction techniques, a small percentage of mispredicted branches can translate into significant performance cost.
Example 3 Code from Example 1 generated using predication

```
cmp.ne.unc p1,p2 = a,0 ;;
(p2) mov        x = 5
(p1) ld         x = [p]
```

In Example 3 (page 7), all branches have been eliminated and the assignments to \( x \) are now data-dependent upon the compare that defines the qualifying predicate.

Control speculation

Control speculation is the execution of an instruction before the execution of all of the conditions controlling its execution. Using control speculation, the compiler can generate code which causes the program to execute conditional code concurrently with a guarding condition, even ahead of a guarding condition, rather than waiting for the result of the guarding condition evaluation. Such concurrency can significantly improve runtime performance.

Example 4 Control speculation code

```
int a,b;
extern int *p;
extern int global;
if(condition) {
    a = global;
    b = *p + 2;
}
```

Using control speculation, the HP compiler can cause the program to execute portions of the two assignment statements in the then clause ahead of the condition.

Loads and arithmetic operations on integer variables are ideal candidates for control speculation because they do not cause undesired side effects. A source code statement may utilize loads, arithmetic operations, and stores. If the source code statement is guarded by a condition evaluation, the loads and arithmetic operations can be speculated; any store operations are dependent on the condition evaluation and cannot be speculated. Calls are also exempt from control speculation.

Because the speculative load is being performed ahead of the guarding condition, it is possible (for a variety of reasons) that the load might not be successful. Unsuccessful speculative loads result in a speculation token (called a NaT) in the target register. This NaT token propagates through subsequent instructions. After the guarding condition is finally evaluated, the results of the speculated instructions are used if they are still needed. If any NaT token is found, a recovery code sequence is executed which recomputes the needed results.
### Example 5 Code from Example 4 using control speculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instruction</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ld.s t1 = [p];</td>
<td>Load t1 from memory location [p] and store in t1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>add b = t1,2</td>
<td>Add t1 and 2, then store the result in b.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cmp.ne.unc p1, p0 = condition, 0 ;;</td>
<td>Compare p1 and p0 with conditional branching.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p1) chk.s t1, L2</td>
<td>Branch to L2 if p1 is not equal to p0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1: ...</td>
<td>Loop body at L1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2: ld t1 = [p];</td>
<td>Load t1 from memory location [p] and branch.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>add b = t1,2</td>
<td>Add t1 and 2, then store the result in b.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>br L1</td>
<td>Branch to L1.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the NaT bit on register t1 is set, the `chk.s` instruction branches to the recovery code located at L2. Recovery code reloads t1 without speculation, then recomputes the result in b. (p1) is a predicate used to determine whether the result is needed.

A variety of factors could cause a speculative load to result in a NaT token and potentially trigger the execution of recovery code. Loads from invalid addresses usually generate an exception on a traditional architecture; speculative loads from invalid addresses result in NaT. In addition, a miss in the translation-lookaside buffer (TLB) on the control speculative load usually results in a NaT when recovery code is present. A TLB is a cache of translations from virtual memory addresses to physical addresses and physical addresses to virtual memory addresses.

### Data speculation

Data speculation involves the early execution of a load prior to one or more store instructions that both:

- preceded the load in original program order.
- might write to the same memory location as is read by the load.

Data speculation can reduce the overall number of required cycles because it increases instruction level parallelism.

### Example 6 Using data speculation

```c
int a, b
extern int *p;
extern int *q;
*p = a;
b = *q + 2;
```

Use of data speculation moves the last statement performing a load of *q above the store of *p, even though *p and *q may be the same memory location.

The Intel Itanium architecture allows the compiler to exploit this type of speculation safely by providing a facility to dynamically identify address conflicts and by allowing the
compiler to trigger execution of a recovery code sequence when an address conflict is discovered during runtime. The compiler utilizes the advanced check (chk.a) instruction that checks to see if there have been any conflicting writes to the address accessed by the advanced load. If such a conflict occurs, the advanced check branches to compiler-generated recovery code where the load is re-executed to ensure the correct value.

**Example 7 Generated code for Example 6 using data speculation**

```
ld.a    t1 = [q] ;;
add     b = t1,2
st      [p] = a
chk.a   t1, L2
L1:
    ...
L2:    ld  t1 = [q] ;;
      add b = t1,2
      br L1
```

The load of *q is moved ahead of the store of *p. The chk.a checks for conflicting writes to the location whose contents were loaded into t1, and branches to L2 to correct them.

**Explicit parallelism**

Explicit parallelism allows the compiler to take advantage of its knowledge of the program semantics, combined with a model of the processor resources, to generate groups of instructions that can be executed in parallel (without requiring hardware dependency analysis). Current processors can execute up to 6 instructions per cycle (2 bundles of 3 instructions each). Supported by a large register file and multiple execution units, the compilers are able to schedule multiple computations in parallel. With explicit “stop bits” in the instruction stream, the compilers indicate exactly which groups of instructions may be executed in the same cycle. The compilers optimize the code to maximize the number of parallel computations performed in each cycle, using the techniques of predication, speculation, and modulo scheduling of loops to increase the opportunities for parallelization.
What’s new in HP compiler A.06.26

Following are the changes in HP aC++/HP C compiler version A.06.26:

- Improved GNU compatibility and new GNU features
  - Support for GNU statement expression
  - Support for GNU _Pragma
- C++0x language extensions
  - Globally unique names
  - Rvalue references
- Option to redirect make-dependency
- Support for initialization of Flexible Array Member
- Improved C++ Demangler
- Deprecation and removal of options

For more information about the changes, see the HP aC++/HP ANSI C A.06.26 Release Notes on the web at http://www.hp.com/go/hpux-C-Integrity-docs. The online ASCII file is available with the product at /opt/aCC/newconfig/RelNotes/ACXX.release.notes.

Understanding key features of the HP compilers

The following sections describe each of these key features:

- “Standards compliance” (page 10)
- “Compatibility” (page 11)
- “Extensive application availability” (page 11)
- “Faster development and debug” (page 12)
- “Advanced low-level optimization” (page 12)
- “Profile-based optimization” (page 13)
- “Powerful high-level optimization” (page 15)
- “Precise floating-point control” (page 21)
- “Extensive inline assembly” (page 23)

Standards compliance

Each HP compiler adheres to defacto industry and international standards to enhance link and runtime compatibility and source code portability. This adherence to open standards protects the investment of application developers and provides rapid development and deployment of new applications. The HP C compiler is branded for
compliance to ISO/IEC 1990 and on 11i Version 3 (11.31) Integrity systems, the UNIX 2003 standard, which includes ISO/IEC 9899:1999; the HP Fortran compiler adheres to ISO/IEC 1539-1: 1997; the HP aC++ compiler is largely compliant with the ISO/IEC 14882 standard for the C++ language (including the C++ standard library). Starting A.06.25, the aC++ compiler also supports certain language features of the C++11 standard, enabled using the -x command line options. For more information, see the HP aC++/HP C A.06.26 Programmer’s Guide.

All HP compiler code generation and data layout conforms to the Common Software Conventions and Runtime Architecture (see “Reference 9” (page 35)) for the Intel Itanium architecture. In addition, the HP aC++ compiler code generation and data layout largely conforms to the Common C++ ABI for the Intel Itanium architecture (see “Reference 10” (page 35))—with a few documented exceptions.

The math library conforms to the specification in the ISO/IEC C99 standard, including the annex for IEC 60559 (IEEE 754-1985) implementations and the annex for IEC 60559-compatible complex arithmetic. The compilers and math library adhere to the IEC 60559 (IEEE 754-1985) binary floating-point standard. They fully support three real IEC 60559 binary floating-point types: float (32-bit), double (64-bit), and long double or quad (128-bit PA-RISC-compatible type). The C and C++ compilers and libraries additionally support the IEC 60559 compatible, 80-bit, extended type in the Intel Itanium architecture (see “Reference 1” (page 35)). The C compiler and libraries for 11i Version 3 (11.31) support three IEEE 754-2008 decimal floating-point types, to the extent specified by draft ISO/IEC Technical Report 24732: _Decimal32, _Decimal64, and _Decimal128.

Compatibility

HP provides near-complete source code and makefile compatibility between its current line of HP 9000 PA-RISC compilers and its new generation of compilers for easy migration of source code and makefiles to Integrity servers. The PA-RISC compiler options are nearly unchanged in the new compilers, while several new options provide access to the new features available only on Integrity servers. Occasionally, it is necessary to introduce a minor incompatibility in order to comply with standards or repair a defect. For more information, see “Reference 18” (page 36) and “Reference 19” (page 36).

Built to run on Integrity systems running HP-UX 11i v2 and later, HP compiler A.06.26 continues to provide significant compatibility with frequently-used features of the Tru64 C and C++ compilers. In particular, the Tru64 C++ ARM dialect is provided, as this was the default Tru64 C++ dialect through Version 5. Additionally, the compiler supports an large number of GNU dialects and built-ins in the default compilation mode, while certain more are enabled when compiling in the GNU compatibility mode.

Extensive application availability

HP compilers support the compiler options and pragmas most often chosen by software developers as essential for preserving and extending application availability. For example, the HP compilers support both the widely used and traditional 32-bit data model and...
the newer 64-bit data model where longs and pointers are 64 bits wide. The traditional 32-bit data model is appropriate for many legacy applications which may not be 64-bit clean. Many other compilers require the application to comply with the 64-bit data model which usually requires a separate 64-bit migration step for legacy applications.

To extend the lifetime of new applications for Integrity servers, HP compilers provide several code scheduling options. These options allow software providers to target a specific processor model or to use a blended model that is suitable for all members of the processor family.

Faster development and debug

Traditionally, compilers perform minimal optimization by default and no optimization when debugging is specified. This approach is inappropriate for Itanium-based systems, where unoptimized programs generally run about two to three times slower than when optimized at +O1 and four to five times slower than when optimized at +O2.

Some optimizations are also required for a debug build since 30 to 50% of the instructions in an unoptimized code sequence are no-op instructions. This relatively large number of no-op instructions is due to the need to form three-instruction bundles, and the limited number of bundle templates available. With optimization, the compiler is able to make much more effective use of the bundle templates.

HP has significantly enhanced performance of code compiled for debugging by providing +O1 level of optimization by default. Optimizations performed at +O1 include common sub-expression elimination, constant propagation, load store elimination, copy elimination, register allocation, restricted basic block scheduling, and simple data prefetching. Care has been taken to ensure that the program can still be debugged correctly; that is, that breakpoints are at expected places and variables have expected values at breakpoints corresponding to source lines.

Advanced low-level optimization

At optimization level 2 (option +O2), HP’s low level optimizer takes full advantage of the key features of the architecture. In addition to the local optimizations applied at +O1, the optimizer applies Static Single Assignment (SSA)–based global value numbering (see “Reference 6” (page 35)), global code motion, value congruent instruction elimination to reduce the static and dynamic number of instructions, aliased scalar promotion (see “Reference 7” (page 35)), a fast version of interprocedural inlining using “tuned-down” heuristics, and SSA-based partial redundancy elimination. The loop optimizer performs data prefetching, sum reduction, scalar replacement, strength reduction, post-increment synthesis and loop unrolling. Data prefetching is automatically performed on loops where the optimizer is able to discern an array reference pattern or linked-list traversal.

HP compilers divide application code into regions which form the unit of operation for instruction scheduling. The instruction scheduler employs control speculation, data speculation, and predication to schedule the region as efficiently as possible, maximizing instruction-level parallelism (see “Reference 3” (page 35)). Where possible, given
reasonable constraints on compile time, innermost loops are subject to software pipelining. The software pipeliner takes advantage of the special branches and rotating registers provided in the architecture to generate software pipelined loops with little or no code expansion, even in the presence of control flow and non-counted loops (see “Reference 5” (page 35)).

Profile-based optimization

HP is a leader in the delivery of profile-based optimization (PBO) (see “Reference 2” (page 35)). PBO data provides the compiler with branch-taken and routine execution frequency information as an additional guide to optimization. In addition, it provides the compiler with data access address strides and data cache miss information, used to guide data cache optimization and scheduling. It also provides the compiler with loop iteration counts, used to guide loop optimization. PBO can provide as much as a 30% performance improvement over +O2 optimization by tuning applications according to their typical execution characteristics. The performance impact of PBO is even higher on Itanium-based systems than on traditional RISC systems because the architecture provides a larger number of mechanisms to increase instruction level parallelism based on application behavior.

Many compiler optimizations are enhanced by knowledge of the execution behavior of the application.

- Certain optimizing transformations are performed on code regions. Profile data helps these transformations select target regions to minimize region crossings within high frequency execution paths.
- Selection of instructions within a region to speculate or predicate is more effective when the compiler has more accurate information on relative execution frequencies.
- High-level optimizations such as loop optimization and procedure inlining can greatly benefit from profile data to select particularly hot loops and call sites for optimization.
- The optimizer can insert more efficient prefetches for linked-list recurrences, if the PBO data indicates that the accesses have a regular stride.
- Cache utilization is enhanced by ordering global and static variables within the data segment such that frequently accessed variables are placed close together.
- For loops that commonly iterate only a few times, as indicated by the loop iteration count PBO data, the optimizer can “peel” off that number of iterations into straight-line code. This can improve instruction level parallelism by allowing greater scheduling freedom for the peeled instructions.
- Scheduling is enhanced by accounting for data cache misses on integer accesses and either reordering the loads or scheduling uses farther away.

On Integrity servers, the two-step PBO process can be done through the +Oprofile=collect build, followed by the +Oprofile=use build, similar to the process on PA-RISC systems. The first step of the build (+Oprofile=collect) inserts
instrumentation code to collect edge weights, data access address strides, and loop iteration counts. When the binary is subsequently run, in addition to the profile data collected by the instrumented code, HP Caliper samples load data cache profile information using the performance monitor unit (PMU). All collected profile information is written into a data file, which is used by the compiler for the subsequent +Oprofile=use build.

New for Integrity servers, HP compilers also provide profile-based optimization using compiler options and source code pragmas. These options and pragmas fine-tune profile data or substitute for profile data in situations where the collection of a typical application input might be difficult. Some sources of difficulty include:

- Representative input data sets might not be readily available.
- Application or system configurations representative of all customer usage profiles might not be practical to duplicate.

The option +Ofrequently_called indicates to the compiler those functions that are called relatively frequently. The option takes a list or a filename as an argument; the file should contain a white-space separated list of function names. The file option allows function name lists to be generated through an automated tool.

Similarly, +Orarely_called identifies those functions that are relatively rarely called. Alternatively, this information can be expressed through the source code using the FREQUENTLY_CALLED and RARELY_CALLED pragmas.

The ESTIMATED_FREQUENCY pragma is a block-scope pragma that indicates the estimated relative execution frequency of the current block as compared with the immediately surrounding block. This can be used to indicate the average trip count in the body of a loop, or to indicate the fraction of time a then clause is executed. The pragma accepts a constant argument which is the expected execution frequency or loop count.
Example 8 Typical use of the ESTIMATED_FREQUENCY pragma

```c
if (condition) {
    #pragma ESTIMATED_FREQUENCY 0.99
    ...
    for (...) {
        #pragma ESTIMATED_FREQUENCY 4.0
        ...
    }
} else {
    ...
}
```

In Example 8 (page 15), the code in the `then` clause of the `if` statement is expected to execute 99% of the time (implying that the `else` clause is executed 1% of the time). The loop is expected to execute four iterations, on average.

The `ESTIMATED_FREQUENCY` pragma gives the developer fine-grain control over the degree of control speculation used by the compiler around any given source code condition. In addition, knowledge of the average loop iteration count can cause the compiler to determine that data prefetching would not be effective.

The `NO_RETURN` pragma asserts to the compiler that the specified function does not return. This allows the optimizer to simplify the control flow graph, enabling more aggressive optimization and reduced pressure on the register stack.

### Powerful high-level optimization

The HP high-level optimizer contains an interprocedural optimizer, a high-level loop optimizer, and a scalar optimizer.

The interprocedural optimizer is enabled with the option `-ipo` at optimization levels two or higher (e.g. `+O2 -ipo`). Optimization level four (option `+O4`) implies `-ipo`. The loop optimizer is enabled at optimization levels three or higher (options `+O3` and `+O4`). The scalar optimizer is enabled along with the other high-level optimizations.

The option `-ipo` can be used to compile some or all of an application’s source files. Compiling only some modules with `-ipo` enables intermodule optimizations between those files. In this mode, only parts of the application are analyzed during IPO by the compiler and therefore the compiler has to make conservative assumptions about the rest of the application. This can result in lost optimization opportunities.

For highest performance, it is beneficial to compile all of an application’s source files with `-ipo`; this is called “whole program mode.” In this mode, the compiler can perform precise analysis of an application, potentially resulting in better performance.

The high-level optimizer makes use of PBO information and is more effective when used in combination with PBO (option `+Oprofile=use`), for example, PBO data improves function inlining. PBO data can reveal the most likely callee at an indirect call site,
allowing the high-level optimizer to transform the indirect call into a test and a direct call.

The inliner framework has been designed to scale to very large applications. It uses a novel and fast underlying algorithm and employs an elaborate set of heuristics to guide its inlining decisions.

The inlining engine is also employed at \texttt{+O2} for intra-module inlining. At this optimization level the inliner uses tuned down heuristics in order to guarantee fast compile times.

Application performance benefits from interprocedural optimization in the following ways:

- Insertion of inter-procedural data prefetches before call sites for data accessed through dereferences of a pointer parameter to the call.
- Interprocedural analysis of memory references and function arguments enables and improves many optimizations; for example, it yields additional opportunities for register promotion.

Consider this example:

```c
void foo( int *x, int *y )
{
    \ldots = *x; // load 1
    *y = \ldots  // store 1
    \ldots = *x; // store 2
}
```

Without any additional knowledge about the properties of the pointers \(x\) and \(y\), the compiler has to issue a second load instruction (load 2), since the store (store 1) may overwrite the content of the pointer \(x\).

If, as a result of interprocedural analysis, the compiler was able to determine that \(x\) and \(y\) never alias (point to the same memory location), the compiler can promote the value of \(*x\) into a register and just reuse this register (load 2).

- Function inlining exposes traditional benefits, such as the reduction of call overhead, the improvement of the locality of the executing code and the reduction of the number of branches. More importantly though, inlining exposes additional optimization opportunities because of the widened scope, which also enables better instruction scheduling.
- The whole call graph is constructed, enabling indirect call promotion, where an indirect call is converted to a test and a direct call. Depending on the application characteristics, and in the presence of PBO data, this can result in significant application speedups (we have observed up to 20\% improvements for certain applications).
- Dead variable removal allows the high level optimizer to reduce the total memory requirements of the application by removing global and static variables that are never referenced.
• Recognition of global, static and local variables that are assigned but never used allows the optimizer to remove dead code (which may result in additional dead variables).

• Conversion of global variables that are referenced only within a module allows the high level optimizer to convert the symbol to a private symbol, guaranteeing that it can only be accessed from within this module. This gives the low-level optimizer greater freedom in optimizing references to that variable.

• Dead function removal (functions that are never called) and redundant function removal (for example, duplicate template instantiations) help to reduce compile time and improve the effectiveness of cross module inlining by reducing the working set. Additionally, as the application’s total code size reduces, it will incur fewer cache and page misses (resulting in potentially higher performance).

• Short data optimizations. Global and static data allocated in the short data area can be accessed with a more efficient access sequence. In whole program mode (-ipo) the compiler can perform precise analysis to determine if all global and static data fits into the short data area and allocate it there. If the data doesn’t fit, the compiler can determine the best safe short data size threshold, enabling a maximum amount of data items to be addressable more effectively.

  This is an advantage over +O2 alone (without -ipo). At optimization level +O2 the same optimization can be enabled with the option +Oshortdata, +Oshortdata=<threshold>. However, this method is typically not adaptive to application change and evolution.

• For calls to external functions (function not residing in a binary) the linker introduces a small call stub. If the compiler knows that a function call is a call to an external function, it can inline the call stub, resulting in better performance.

  The HP compilers support a mechanism that allows annotating function prototypes with a pragma (#pragma extern) marking those functions as external functions. When used with the compiler option -minshared (see “Choosing the link mode” (page 25)), the compiler can perform call stub inlining.

  All this is no longer necessary with -ipo in whole program mode. In this model the compiler knows which functions are defined by the application and which are external and automatically marks functions appropriately.

• Interprocedural constant propagation enables more efficient code.

• Data layout optimizations, including structure splitting and dead field removal, can help reduce the working set of an application and thereby improve data cache behavior. In its framework for interprocedural data layout optimizations, if the compiler is able to determine that a given structure type can be modified safely, the compiler may split a structure type into hot and cold parts, with the goal of reducing cache and TLB penalties. This optimization has been greatly improved in the current
compiler and handles many additional cases; the \texttt{+O\texttt{info}} option can be used to determine whether this optimization has been performed.

Although full interprocedural optimizations are only available in the presence of \texttt{-ipo} or \texttt{+O4}, the compiler performs “lightweight” interprocedural optimization at \texttt{+O2} and above. This phase can improve performance of applications with frequent use of static variables and functions. Lightweight IPO enables the following optimizations:

- Dead function elimination for static routines.
- Dead variable elimination for static variables.
- Address exposure analysis for static variables.

The interprocedural analysis phase is also able to expose and warn on additional source problems, for example, for variables that are declared with incompatible attributes in different source files.

The HP compilers deliver many high-level math functions as high-performance implementations in intermediate form (as IELF object files), allowing the compiler to inline these functions. This results in the usual benefits gained from inlining, such as avoidance of call overhead or broadening of scope, and can help the instruction scheduler hide load and store latencies.

The high level loop optimizer has been significantly improved, and performs the following classic loop optimizations based on array access patterns (the loop optimizer is enabled at optimization levels two (\texttt{+O2}) and higher):

- Loop interchange
- Loop distribution
- Loop fusion
- Loop unrolling
- Loop unswitching
- Loop cloning
- Parallelization
- Loop blocking
- Loop unroll-and-jam
- Scalar replacement
- Recognition of \texttt{memset}/\texttt{memcpy} type loops
- Loop rerolling

These optimizations are designed to improve locality of array access, improving the utilization of the data cache. Parallelization distributes the work of a loop body among available processors.
The loop optimizer also performs some new optimizations:

- **Automatic parallelization.** This optimization allows applications to exploit otherwise idle resources on multicore or multiprocessor systems by automatically transforming serial loops into multithreaded parallel code. When the `+Oautopar` option is used at optimization levels three (+O3) and above, the compiler automatically parallelizes those loops that are deemed safe and profitable by the loop transformer. With `+Oautopar`, the parallelized application will utilize all the processors or the number of desired processors indicated by the environment variable `OMP_NUM_THREADS`. The default is `+Onoautopar`, which disables automatic parallelization of loops. Automatic parallelization can be combined with manual parallelization through the use of OpenMP directives and the `+Oopenmp` option. When both `+Oopenmp` and `+Oautopar` are specified, then any existing OpenMP directives take precedence, and the compiler will only consider auto-parallelizing other loops that are not controlled by those directives.

**Figure 2 Build model for interprocedural optimization**

- **Loop multiversioning.** Some loops can be optimized more aggressively by assuming certain conditions, all of which may not be known at compile time. The loop optimizer can clone these loops, introduce some runtime checks and optimize the cloned loops more aggressively. At the executable runtime, the assumed conditions are checked and the correct loop is executed.

- **malloc combining.** The optimizer can combine several small block allocations into a single large block allocation. This improves locality and reduces the cost of calling the allocation routine.
The high level scalar optimizer performs expression simplification and canonicalization, SSA-based dead code removal, copy propagation, constant propagation, and register promotion, as well as control flow optimizations and basic block cloning.

The interprocedural optimization framework (enabled with -ipo at optimization level +O2 or higher) has been designed to scale to very large applications.

Fortunately, nothing changes from a user’s perspective; in particular, existing build processes do not have to be modified. Since the IPO and code generation are performed at link time, the link time may increase significantly.

The internal build model differs slightly from the default build model and is illustrated in Figure 2 (page 19) (simplified for clarity).

The object files generated with -ipo contain an intermediate representation of the user code; these object files are called IELF files. IELF files have been designed for fast access. Compared to regular object files containing debug information (option -g), IELF files are typically larger by a factor of 3x. Compared to object files containing no debug information, IELF files can be significantly larger, as they have to include, for example, complete type information. This can be a strain on file systems for very large applications. The utility elfdump allows determining whether a given object file is an IELF file (generated with -ipo) or a real object file: the option -f, which displays the ELF file header, will report a file type of “HP_IFILE” for IELF files.

IELF files are not guaranteed to be compatible from one compiler release to the next. If your application attempts to make use of old IELF files, a full recompilation of your application may be necessary after a compiler update.

IELF files are consumed by the interprocedural analysis and optimization phase which as result generates a set of final temporary IELF files containing the transformation results. Great care has been taken to minimize the amount of core memory needed during IPO and to ensure that the fastest algorithms are chosen (see “Reference 11” (page 35) and “Reference 12” (page 35)).

The IPO phase also generates a temporary Makefile containing targets for translating the temporary IELF files into real object files. This translation is done with a standalone backend called be, which contains the code generator and the low-level optimizer (in addition to the high level optimizer). The IPO phase executes make on the generated Makefile in parallel mode, generating final object files required for the link of the application. This mechanism is transparent to the user.

The default number of parallel be processes is set to the number of processors on a machine. This number can be overridden by setting the environment variable PARALLEL (see the man pages for make for more details).

This parallelization speeds up the time spent in code generation and low-level optimization greatly on machines with multiple processors. For several serial build processes (no parallel make for the frontend parts) +O4 has been observed to be faster than +O2.
However, in general, we would expect +O4 to be no worse than 2x slower than +O2 (depending on the application’s build mechanics and the build machines).

Precise floating-point control

HP compilers are designed to provide complete developer access to the uniquely powerful floating-point features of the architecture. These features enable HP compiler-generated floating-point code and the math library to be both highly accurate and well optimized under default and general compiler options.

Under the -fpwidenotypes option, the C and C++ math library headers define names for functions and macros involving the 80-bit floating-point type, and they define alternative names for functions and macros involving the 128-bit long double type, as shown in Table 1 (page 21). The names extended and quad, which are industry convention, facilitate portability by providing type names that are not dependent on the particular format of the long double type, which differs in implementation among different operating systems.

Table 1 Floating-point type suffixes and macros

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Function Suffix</th>
<th>Macros</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__float80 (80-bit type)</td>
<td>extended (defined in math.h, float.h, complex.h, and stdlib.h)</td>
<td>w (e.g., logw)</td>
<td>W (e.g., HUGE_VALW) EXT_ (e.g., EXT_MAX)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>long double or __float128 (128-bit type)</td>
<td>quad (defined in math.h, float.h, complex.h, and stdlib.h)</td>
<td>q (e.g., logq)</td>
<td>Q (e.g., HUGE_VALQ) QUAD_ (e.g., QUAD_MAX)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HP C and C++ compilers provide a choice of three binary floating-point evaluation methods, indicated by the -fpeval={float|double|extended} option. The option -fpeval=extended can facilitate importing programs from IA-32 platforms that employ wide range and/or precision, and can generally improve robustness where narrower evaluation would be sensitive to rounding error, overflow, or underflow.

- **float**, the default, selects the C99-specified evaluation method which evaluates binary floating operations and constants to their semantic type.
- **double** selects the C99-specified evaluation method which evaluates float operations and constants to the wider range and precision of double and other operations and constants to their semantic types.
- **extended** selects a method that evaluates float and double operations and constants to the wider range and precision of extended, and other operations and constants to their semantic types.
In addition, the HP C compiler provides a choice of three decimal floating-point evaluation methods, indicated by the `-fpevaldec={_Decimal32|_Decimal64|_Decimal128}` option, analogous to their binary floating-point counterparts.

- **_Decimal32**, the default, evaluates decimal floating operations and constants to their semantic type.
- **_Decimal64** evaluates _Decimal32 operations and constants to the wider range and precision of _Decimal64, and other operations and constants to their semantic type.
- **_Decimal128** selects a method that evaluates _Decimal32 and _Decimal64 operations and constants to the wider range and precision of _Decimal128, and other operations and constants to their semantic types.

Several HP compiler options allow the developer to control the accuracy of floating-point computation and the treatment of special values.

- **+Ofltacc={strict|default|limited|relaxed}** controls the accuracy of floating-point computations.
  - strict disallows contractions, such as Floating Multiply-Add (FMA) synthesis. This can also be expressed using `#pragma STDC FP_CONTRACT OFF` in the source code at the desired scope.
  - default, the compiler’s default, allows contractions (FMA synthesis) as with the C99 `#pragma STDC FP_CONTRACT ON`, but disallows any other floating-point optimization that might change result values. Contractions are acceptable in most applications, but can break those that depend on operations being rounded to specific range and precision and (rarely) some that do not.
  - limited is like default except that it also allows floating-point optimizations (such as substitution of 0.0 for `x * 0.0`) that might impact the generation and propagation of infinities, Not A Numbers (NaNs), and the sign of zero.
  - relaxed indicates the characteristics of limited and allows floating-point optimization (such as reordering of expressions, even if parenthesized) that might change rounding errors. The option relaxed allows the compiler to invoke slightly less accurate math functions to improve performance. The relaxed option now implies `+Ocxlimitedrange`; an explicit `+Onocxlimitedrange` option overrides the implication.

- The **+Osumreduction** option allows the optimization of sum reductions, regardless of the floating-point accuracy. Normally, sum reductions are only optimized under `+Ofltacc=relaxed` for the C/C++ compilers (the Fortran language standard allows them to be optimized by default). This option can be used to allow sum reduction optimization under any setting of the `+Ofltacc` option. It is useful for programs that do not require program ordering of the partial sums involved in the sum reduction, but that require accuracy in other computations. Alternatively, the
+Onosumreduction option will disallow the sum reduction optimization under any setting of +Ofltacc.

- The +Ocxlimitedrange option indicates complex multiply, divide, and cabs operations are not required to satisfy C99 infinity properties, and allows extended and long double versions to be more likely to encounter undue over/underflow. This functionality can also be chosen with #pragma STDC CX_LIMITED_RANGE ON in the source code at the desired scope, and is implied by +Ofltacc=relaxed.

- The +FPD option or the library call fesetflushtozero(1) set the flush-to-zero underflow mode.

Other options provide specialized characteristics of floating-point code and math library functions.

The option +Ofenvaccess provides reliable use of <fenv.h> functionality to access floating-point control modes and exception flags. This functionality is also activated with the #pragma STDC FENV_ACCESS ON in the source code at the desired scope.

The +Olibmerrno option provides math functions which set errno, and return values documented for HP PA-RISC and Unix 95 where these differ from C99 for IEC 60559 implementations. Alternatively, +Onolibmerrno, the default, provides functions that do not set errno.

Extensive inline assembly

HP allows users to embed machine-level code within a C or C++ program using inline assembly intrinsics. These intrinsics have an easy-to-use interface defined in <machine/syssys/inline.h>. When this header file is included, the compiler ensures the correct values and use of inline instruction arguments.

A paper describing the use of the inline assembly intrinsics is available online (see “Reference 17” (page 36)).

Application tuning

Tuning an application is basically an iterative process with just two steps:

1. Determine the hot spots in the application or general performance issues with the application.
2. Optimize the hot spots, attacking performance issues.

HP has developed several new tools for finding hot spots and characterizing application performance on Integrity servers. The following sections describe the use of these tools and other techniques for tuning application performance.

Profiling

HP provides two performance analysis tools:

- **HP Caliper** – provides access to several types of performance data. HP Caliper provides three levels of performance measurements, from application call graphs
to instruction-level events. Global measurements report total values for critical performance elements such as cache and TLB misses, branch mispredictions, pipeline stalls, instructions executed, and so on. Global measurements are a quick way to find performance problems. Sampled measurements report the same performance metrics as global, but they are sampled during application runtime and correlated to program locations. Precise measurements provide exact function call counts, function coverage, call graph and basic block arc counts. HP Caliper operates during application runtime and does not require the application be built with any enabling compiler options. (For more information, see the references listed in “Additional Information” (page 33))

- **HP-UX gprof** – shows the hot procedures in the application and which hot paths call those procedures. Using this information, the developer can decide which procedures or sections of the application could most benefit from tuning, either with compiler options and pragmas or algorithmic improvement. The -G compiler option is used to instrument an application for gprof.

HP Caliper includes two modes of operation (cgprof and scgprof) that perform the same measurements and reporting as gprof, but without the need to compile the source code specially for measurement.

### Include header files

Legacy C applications frequently do not include header files for system libraries such as libc and libm. For example, `<stdio.h>` should be included in any file that calls `printf`, but it frequently is not. The default argument and return types in C allow calls to many C library functions with no explicit prior prototype or declaration, and these calls usually work fine in the traditional 32-bit data model where the default `int` type and pointer types are the same size. Default types do not work for calling most libm functions. Some codes include their own declaration instead of the header file for a libm function. This is allowed, but will inhibit optimization (including inlining) of the call.

HP has included in its HP-UX system header files a variety of pragmas that allow the HP compiler to statically bind and optimize calls to library functions. The compiler binds library calls at compile-time where it is safe to do so, as identified by a `#pragma BUILTIN` or `#pragma BUILTIN_MILLI` in the system header. Calls to these functions can be optimized by the compiler; the compiler can inline the function, substitute a call to a faster routine, or apply more aggressive optimizations around the call. The system header files also include pragmas that declare system library routines external so that calls to shared system libraries may be optimized. These transformations provide significant performance gains in some applications.

### Scheduling for the processor

Different members of the Intel Itanium processor family can have different resource constraints, instruction latencies, and other scheduling criteria. HP compilers allow the developer to optimize the application for a specific member of the processor family, or
to create applications which are suitable for any Itanium processor, using the 
+DS{blended|itanium2|montecito|poulson|native} compiler option.

- The default option +DSblended specifies code scheduling that runs reasonably 
  well on all implementations.
- The +DSpoulson, +DSitanium2, and +DSmontecito options select code 
  optimized for these processors.
- The +DSmontecito option also selects code optimized for the Montvale and Tukwila 
  implementations.
- The +DSnative option asks the compiler to schedule code optimally for the system 
  type on which compilation is occurring.

HP will add new choices to the +DS series of options as new processors are introduced.

Use these options with care. An application compiled for one processor may run 
sub-optimally on another processor. Code scheduled for the Intel Itanium 2 
(+DSitanium2) processor may run noticeably slower (5–40%) on an Intel Itanium 
processor than code compiled with the +DSitanium option. The relative performance 
difference will vary with the application; floating-point intensive codes tend to be more 
sensitive to the scheduling model than integer codes. The +DSblended scheduling model 
is a hybrid model that attempts to generate code that runs reasonably well on all existing 
implementations, and it will continue to evolve as new Itanium implementations are 
released. In the AR1003 compilers, the +DSblended model is a combination of the 
+DSmontecito and +DSpoulson models.

It might be necessary to recompile applications for a future member of the Intel Itanium 
processor family in order to obtain optimal performance. Binary compatibility, however, 
is assured regardless of the choice of scheduling option.

Choosing the link mode

By default, all HP compilers assume the -dynamic option. The resulting object file uses 
dynamic linking and can be included in a shared library. When the object file will be 
linked into an executable rather than a shared library, the option -exec is appropriate. 
The -exec option tells the compiler that all defined global symbols are resolved within 
the executable itself, usually resulting in faster loads and stores. The option -minshared 
directs the compiler to use archive libraries (when available), rather than shared libraries, 
to potentially improve performance. It tells the compiler that all symbols will be resolved 
within the executable itself, except for those symbols declared with the appropriate 
pragmas in system header files.

Increasing the page size

If your application incurs a high data or instruction TLB miss rate, requesting a larger 
virtual memory page size for data or instructions can provide an additional performance 
gain. HP Caliper can tell you if your application is experiencing a high TLB miss rate.
You can specify large pages using the linker +pd and +pi options, or using the chatr(1) command. It is often worth testing a wide range of page sizes, as application performance can vary unpredictably.

Describing application characteristics

HP compilers support several options and function attributes that describe the coding style used by the application. These options allow the compiler to make assumptions about the behavior of the application. Following these coding guidelines can be time-consuming but rewarding because these options often yield substantial performance gains.

• The option +Otype_safety={off|limited|ansi|strong} describes the type of safety rules used by the code being compiled.
  ○ off is the default. It indicates aliasing can occur freely across types.
  ○ limited specifies an observance of the ANSI aliasing rules with unnamed objects treated for aliasing as though they are of unknown type.
  ○ ansi specifies an observance of ANSI aliasing rules with unnamed objects treated as named objects.
  ○ strong specifies the ANSI aliasing rules, except that accesses through values of character types are not permitted to touch other non-character objects and the compiler assumes field addresses are not taken.

• The option +Onoptrs_toGlobals declares to the compiler statically-allocated data (including file-scoped globals, file-scoped statics, and function-scoped statics) will not be accessed through pointers. Conversely, the option +Optrs_toGlobals assumes that statically-allocated data can be accessed through pointers.

• The options +Oparmsoverlap and +Onoparmsoverlap declare whether or not function parameters may overlap with others. For Fortran, the default is +Onoparmsoverlap, which says that arguments will not overlap with one another or with any variables in common blocks. The option +Oparmsoverlap allows such overlap. For C and C++, the default is +Oparmsoverlap, which allows memory accessed indirectly through a pointer argument to overlap memory accessed indirectly through another pointer argument, or to overlap statically-allocated data. The option +Onoparmsoverlap declares that no such overlap will occur. The __restrict keyword (or restrict in C99 mode) may also be used.

• The malloc attribute indicates that the return value of the given function either points to a memory location or is a null pointer, that the returned memory can be pointed to only by the returned pointer (not, e.g., by any global variable), and that no other malloc calls can return the same memory location or a pointer to it. This enables the compiler to make more aggressive aliasing assumptions about addresses returned by the given function. For example:

  
  ```c
  void *mymalloc(int i) __attribute__((malloc));
  ```

  

Many wrappers around `malloc()` obey these rules.

- The `non_exposing` attribute indicates that the given function does not cause any address it can derive from any of its formal parameters to become visible after a call to the function returns. An address becomes visible if the function returns a value from which it can be directly derived, or if the function stores it in a memory location that is visible (can be referenced directly or indirectly) after the call to the function returns. This indicates that the compiler can make more aggressive aliasing assumptions about addresses passed to the given function. For example:

  ```c
  void foo(int *pi) __attribute__((non_exposing));
  ```

Many wrappers around `free()` obey these rules. Many functions that have nothing to do with memory allocation also obey these rules.

The compiler alone cannot determine when these guidelines are followed, nor can it diagnose violations of these guidelines. However, in whole program mode, the option `+O[no]ptrs_to_globals` is not necessary any more since the compiler will perform analysis to detect whether statically allocated data are accessed through pointers. In addition, the compiler will detect and warn about wrong uses of `+Onoptrs_to_globals` if whole program mode is specified.

**Table 2 General purpose tuning options and when to use them**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Optimization Type</th>
<th>When to Try</th>
<th>Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Include system header files</td>
<td>Legacy C code which does not include headers for all the system library functions called.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimizing for the processor</td>
<td>Optimal performance on a specific processor. Willingness to support multiple processors.</td>
<td><code>+DSblended +DSitanium2 +DSmontecito +DSPoulson +DSnative</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choosing the link mode</td>
<td>Creating an executable rather than a shared library. Preference for available archived libraries.</td>
<td><code>-exec -minshared</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing the page size</td>
<td>High data (or instruction) TLB miss rate.</td>
<td><code>-Wl,+pi -Wl,+pd</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describing application characteristics</td>
<td>It is known that application follows specific guidelines.</td>
<td><code>+O[no]ptrs_to_globals +Otype_safety +O[no]parmsoverlap</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tuning with profile-based optimization

Profile-based optimization (PBO) is likely to be worthwhile if:

- The application contains a large number of control-flow branches.
- The application contains a large number of indirect branches (for example, C++ virtual function calls) and the -ipo option is used.
- HP Caliper data indicates high branch misprediction rates, high numbers of case statement layout optimization opportunities, or large numbers of if-convert opportunities for hot branches.
- Representative input sets are readily available or the available profile data can be translated into PBO options and pragmas.
- HP Caliper data indicates poor data cache performance, and the application contains linked-list traversals and/or large numbers of global or static variables.
- The application contains loops that tend to iterate only a few times.

For integer code, PBO can be expected to achieve a 5–40% improvement in application performance; floating-point code will generally see more modest improvements.

Tuning across program modules

The compiler option -ipo requests cross-module optimization (optionally in conjunction with PBO). If HP Caliper data collected after using -ipo shows an increase in instruction cache and/or TLB misses, this probably indicates a bit too much inlining was performed. In this case, the option +inline_level can be used to limit inlining.

Tuning floating-point numerical code

The performance strategies already mentioned can improve floating-point performance. For example, optimization at +O2 or with PBO will speed up most floating-point code. Optimization at +O3 further speeds up some code and can dramatically speed up loop-intensive code. With option +O3, the compiler performs additional optimizations such as loop transformations (interchange, fusion, distribution, and so on) and more inlining of math library routines into user code. In particular, if HP Caliper indicates high data cache or TLB miss rates, the optimizations performed at +O3 can be highly beneficial.

At optimization levels +O2 and higher, the compiler inlines the more commonly used math functions, including log, exp, sin, and cos, provided that the proper header files are included. This can substantially improve performance, particularly for calls in loops, and does not affect function behavior.
The general optimization strategies heretofore can be applied without loss of floating-point quality. Here are some additional suggestions:

- Specific optimizations involving math library functions are done only if the source file includes the math headers, such as `<math.h>`, that declare the function.
- The compiler optimizes even under controls for special floating-point semantics (see “Precise floating-point control” (page 21)); however, these controls do restrict optimization and may degrade the performance of code that does not require the behavior provided. For best performance, use `#pragma STDC FENV_ACCESS ON` in the smallest blocks that enclose the code for which it is needed, rather than using the compile option `-Ofenvaccess` for the entire compilation unit. Similarly use `#pragma STDC FP_CONTRACT OFF` in the smallest sensitive blocks and compile with `-Ofltacc=default`, rather than compiling with `-Ofltacc=strict`.
- `-Oflibmerrno` is best used only if the compilation unit requires the math functions to set `errno`. Consider querying exception flags instead of `errno`.
- The `-l:libm.a` option will link in an archive version of `libm` and result in more efficient calling sequences. (Using the `-Wl,-a,archive_shared` option when linking will have a similar effect, but may cause the linker to select other archive libraries where shared libraries may be preferred.)

The following techniques can provide significant performance gains, but can degrade the application’s ability to deal with unusual or unexpected inputs. They are best suited to performance-hungry applications that are known to run correctly on systems with a relaxed floating-point model or that can be well tested.

- `-Ofltacc=limited` is appropriate when the application does not depend on a specific treatment of infinities, NaNs, or the sign of zero. This option will not substantially improve performance of most codes.
- `-Ofltacc=relaxed` can provide a performance gain over `-Ofltacc=limited` when the application meets the criteria for `-Ofltacc=limited`, the application is known to run correctly with looser floating-point models, and reproducibility of low order result bits is not essential.
• +Osumreduction can provide a performance gain when the application contains sum reductions that do not require strict ordering of their partial sums, but cannot use +Ofltacc=relaxed.

• +FPD or a call to fesetflushtolowered(1) are suitable when the application is tolerant of zero being delivered in lieu of denormal result values. Flush-to-zero mode can significantly speed up some computations with the float type.

The following techniques can provide significant performance gains when algorithms can be redesigned or re-implemented in new code:

• The 80-bit extended type arithmetic is essentially as fast as float or double. The speed of an extended math function is typically about 0.7 times that of the corresponding double function. There may be an overall performance gain if the extra precision and range allow the removal of branches to special code for handling rounding errors and underflow and overflow conditions. In addition, the extra range and precision of the extended type can result in simpler, more robust application code that is easier to maintain. Even the 128-bit long double (quad) type, whose functions are typically within 0.25 times as fast as corresponding routines for extended types, can be considered in high performance code where extreme precision is needed locally.

• Replace portions of the implementation with inline assembly.

Allowing optimization flexibility

The compiler options +Ofast and +Ofaster direct the compiler to use typical collections of aggressive optimization options that are safe for most applications. While the features included in +Ofast and +Ofaster may evolve from release to release, +Ofast currently implies the following:

• +O2 requests level two optimization.

• +Onolimit allows full optimization of large procedures, possibly at the expense of longer compile time.

• +Ofltacc=relaxed (see “Precise floating-point control” (page 21)).

• +FPD enables the flush-to-zero rounding mode on the hardware.

• +DSnative directs code scheduling specialized for the type of system on which compilation is taking place (see “Scheduling for the processor” (page 24)).

• -Wl,+pi,1M and -Wl,+pd,1M causes the application to utilize 1Mbyte instruction and data virtual memory page sizes, respectively.

• -Wl,+mergeseg causes the dynamic loader to merge the data segments of shared libraries loaded at runtime, which allows the kernel to use larger size page table entries. Note that the use of this option increases the size of the Resident Set Size (RSS) and may degrade the performance of short-lived programs.
The option `+Ofaster` is an alias for `+Ofast +O4` and is therefore ideally suited for cross-module optimizations.

Because both `+Ofast` and `[+Ofaster]` imply `+Ofltacc=relaxed`, they are not alone appropriate for tuning floating-point code that requires more rigorous floating-point behavior. However, they can be made appropriate by taking advantage of the compiler’s general left-to-right option processing. For example, the command-line options `+Ofast +Ofltacc=strict` tell the compiler that the latter `+Ofltacc=strict` overrides the earlier setting of `+Ofltacc=relaxed` imposed by `+Ofast`. Likewise, `+Ofast +FPd` enables the default gradual underflow mode.

Using inline assembly

HP C and C++ inline assembly support allows the user to directly exploit powerful assembly-level instructions that would otherwise be difficult for the compiler to generate from source-level constructs. Inline assembly is implemented as an extension to C/C++. Other than including an additional header file, no other changes are needed to use inline assembly. For certain applications, the use of inline assembly can improve performance or provide access to key functionality above and beyond that which the compiler alone can provide:

- The performance of multimedia applications can be significantly enhanced with inline assembly because the compiler cannot directly generate many of the most beneficial multimedia instructions.
- The HP-UX compilers and libraries make available most architectural floating-point features through standard language features and natural extensions. The 80-bit extended type, the `fma()` functions and the inquiry macros, such as `isinf` and `isunordered`, are all available using standard features and natural extensions. However, writers of low-level floating-point codes will still benefit from judicious use of inline assembly to access architectural features such as the `frcpa` and `frsqrta` instructions, the 82-bit registers, and the alternate status fields.

For more information about inline assembly, see “Reference 17” (page 36).

Troubleshooting optimization problems

Occasionally, optimization can expose defects in an application that were hidden when the application was compiled without optimization. Here are some representative examples:

- Expressions that perform pointer arithmetic beyond the boundary of an object are undefined according to the language standards. Use of such non-standard pointer arithmetic to access data can result in failures in 32-bit mode due to the compiler’s use of `addp4` (add pointer) instructions. The add pointer instruction computes addresses by adding an offset to a 32-bit pointer, which must point to the same address region as the resulting pointer. If an application uses non-standard pointer arithmetic, however, the compiler might not be able to enforce this condition, resulting
in incorrect pointer accesses. Use the \texttt{+Ocross\_region\_addressing} option to prevent this problem when an application cannot be rewritten to avoid such pointer arithmetic.

- The option \texttt{+Oinitcheck} will direct the compiler to detect and initialize all uninitialized variables. Application code that contains uninitialized variables can show unexpected behavior after optimization. Without this option, the compiler attempts to detect and warn about uninitialized variables that are definitely uninitialized along all paths. If a variable is uninitialized along only some paths to its use, by default these will not be detected and can result in errors.

- Similarly, the option \texttt{+Oparminit} causes the compiler to initialize to zero any unspecified function parameters at call sites. Without using this option, these unspecified parameters can contain \texttt{NaT} tokens from previous computations that will result in incorrect behavior if they are consumed (see “Control speculation” (page 7)).

- According to the C and C++ language standards, signed integer arithmetic overflow in user code results in undefined behavior. The compiler makes assumptions that such overflow does not occur during some optimizations. For example, the compiler will remove sign extension operations within loop bodies assuming that integer accumulations within the loop do not overflow. A program that relies on certain behavior for overflowing arithmetic operations may behave differently after optimization. The user can suppress assumptions made by some compiler optimizations regarding the lack of overflow with the \texttt{+Ointeger\_overflow=conservative} option.

When compiling an application that relies on specific floating-point rounding behavior, \texttt{+Ofltacc=strict} is appropriate. By default, the only value-changing optimization the compiler performs is the synthesis of contractions. The resulting value is generally more accurate because it has not been subject to an intermediate rounding. However, some floating-point applications rely on the intermediate rounding for correct results.

A developer can narrow down the scope of a problem in optimized code by linking together subsets of the application’s object files compiled at the failing optimization level and at a lower, working optimization level. A binary search on the object files with this method can quickly isolate the object file causing the execution failure. If the object file contains more than one C or C++ routine, another binary search on the routines within the source file using \texttt{#pragma OPT\_LEVEL n} can usually identify the problematic routine. The \texttt{OPT\_LEVEL} pragma should always be used at global scope; it reduces the optimization level to the value of the argument and that optimization level remains in effect for the rest of the file or until set again by another pragma. The \texttt{+O0=name} option can also be used to turn off optimization for selected functions. For Fortran applications, the \texttt{fsplit} tool will split a single source file into multiple single-routine files.
### Table 3 Options and pragmas for troubleshooting problems in optimized code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+Oinitcheck</td>
<td>Initializes all potentially-uninitialized variables with zero.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+Oparminit</td>
<td>Initializes to zero any unspecified function parameters at call sites, to avoid NaT values.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+Ofltacc=strict</td>
<td>Prevents all value-changing optimizations, even contractions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+Ocross_region_addressing</td>
<td>In 32-bit mode, modifies the use of add pointer instructions so that non-standard-conforming pointer arithmetic works properly, incurring some performance cost.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+O0=name[,name]...</td>
<td>Turns off optimization for the named functions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#pragma OPT_LEVEL n</td>
<td>C/C++ pragma which forces the compiler to compile subsequent code (up to the next opt_level pragma) at the given optimization level. Can only specify an optimization level which is equal or lower than the optimization level from the command-line.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+Ointeger_overflow=conservative</td>
<td>Suppress aggressive assumptions made by the compiler regarding the lack of integer arithmetic overflow in the program.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Additional Information

The HP Developer and Solution Partner Program (DSPP) web site contains useful information, including a number of “webinars” on related topics, such as HP-UX compilers, HP Caliper, and application performance tuning:

http://www.hp.com/go/dspp

Additional information about the ANSI C and C++ compilers is available at the following locations:

http://www.hp.com/go/c
http://www.hp.com/go/aCC

Extensive documentation and technical usage notes on floating-point and math functions, including the new decimal floating-point features, are available at:

http://www.hp.com/go/fp

Information about HP Code Advisor is available at:

http://www.hp.com/go/cadvise

Extensive documentation and technical usage notes on HP Caliper are available at:
http://www.hp.com/go/hpcaliper

Information about HP Wildebeest Debugger (WDB) is available at:
http://www.hp.com/go/wdb
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